

TD Group US Holdings LLC TD Bank, National Association TD Bank USA, National Association

Dodd-Frank Act Stress Testing Results Supervisory Severely Adverse Scenario

June 21, 2018

Overview

The following disclosure is specific to TD Group US Holdings LLC (hereafter referred to as "TDGUS") and its bank subsidiaries, TD Bank N.A. ("TDBNA") and TD Bank USA, N.A. ("TDBUSA") (collectively, "the Company"). TDGUS is a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Toronto-Dominion Bank, a Schedule I bank chartered under the Bank Act (Canada). The Company is required to conduct a stress test under regulations adopted by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ("FRB") and the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC") pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (collectively, the "Stress Test Regulations")¹. Stress test scenarios are provided by these regulatory agencies and the stress test results projected by the Company provide forward-looking information to help regulators, the board of directors, senior management, and market participants to identify risks and the potential impacts of adverse economic environments on the Company's capital.

The Stress Test Regulations require the disclosure of a summary of the Company-run stress test results under the Supervisory Severely Adverse Scenario ("the scenario") over the 9-quarter planning horizon beginning on January 1, 2018 and ending on March 31, 2020 ("the planning horizon"). The Stress Test Regulations also require that the Company disclose a description of the types of risks included in the stress test, projection methodologies used, and an explanation of the most significant causes of changes in capital under the scenario.

The scenario represents a hypothetical economic environment based on the macroeconomic scenarios released by the FRB and OCC². The scenario features a severe global recession accompanied by a global aversion to long-term fixed-income assets. As a result, long-term rates do not fall and yield curves steepen in the United States and the four countries/blocks (i.e., Euro area, United Kingdom, Japan, and developing Asia). In turn, these developments lead to a broad-based and deep correction in asset prices- including in the corporate bond and real estate markets. As well, equity prices fall 65 percent by early 2019, accompanied by a surge in equity market volatility. As a result of the sharp contraction in economic activity, all foreign economies included in the scenario experience a decline in consumer prices, with Japan experiencing a more significant deflation that persists through the end of the scenario period. The U.S. dollar appreciates against the euro, the pound sterling, and the currencies of developing Asia but depreciates modestly against the yen because of flight-to-safety capital flows.

This document contains forward-looking statements, including projections of the Company's financial results and conditions under a hypothetical scenario. The projections are not intended to be a forecast by the Company of expected future economic and financial conditions or results, but rather reflect possible results under a prescribed hypothetical scenario which is highly unlikely to occur. The Company's actual financial results and conditions may be influenced by different actual economic and financial conditions and various other factors, both general and specific, which may cause such results to differ materially from the projections provided in this document. For more detailed information regarding forward-looking statements and discussions of risk factors relating to the Company, see The Toronto-Dominion Bank's 2017 annual management's discussion and analysis, and any updates to such document as may be subsequently filed in quarterly reports to shareholders and news releases (as applicable).

Description of the Types of Risks Included in the Company-Run Stress Test

As a part of the ongoing capital management process, the Company performs a risk identification process to ensure that capital adequacy is assessed based on the Company's significant risks and risk profile, business practices, and environment. The risk identification process is designed to comprehensively identify, capture, and estimate the impact of the following significant risks: strategic, credit, operational, market, liquidity, legal, regulatory compliance and conduct, reputational, model, and capital adequacy. The potential consequences of failing to mitigate these risks include financial loss, regulatory censure and sanctions, incorrect business and strategic decisions, and reputational harm, which could be material to the Company.

¹ The FRB's stress test rules applicable to TDGUS are found in 12 CFR Part 252, Subpart F (by way of 252.153(e)(5)). The OCC's stress test rules applicable to TDBNA and TDBUSA are found in 12 CFR Part 46.

² Please refer to https://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/pressreleases/files/bcreg20180201a2.pdf and https://www.occ.treas.gov/tools-forms/forms/ bank-operations/stress-test-reporting.html for more detailed information about this scenario.

Strategic Risk

Strategic risk is the potential for financial loss or reputational damage arising from the choice of sub-optimal or ineffective strategies, the improper implementation of chosen strategies, choosing not to pursue certain strategies, or a lack of responsiveness to changes in the business environment. Strategies include merger and acquisition activities.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk of loss if a borrower or counterparty in a transaction fails to meet its agreed payment obligations. The magnitude of loss is determined by probability of default, exposure at default, and loss given default. Credit risk is incurred in the Company's lending operations and investment portfolio and derivative contracts where customers and counterparties have principal repayment, interest payment, collateral settlement, or other obligations to the Company.

Operational Risk

Operational risk is the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes or technology or from human activities or from external events. Operational risk is inherent in all of the Bank's business activities, including the practices and controls used to manage other risks such as credit, market, and liquidity risk.

Market Risk (Trading and Non-Trading)

Trading Market Risk is the risk of loss in financial instruments or the balance sheet due to adverse movements in market factors such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates, equity prices, commodity prices, credit spreads, volatilities, and correlations from trading activities. The Company's trading market risk arises from securities and other financial instruments held in support of trading activities including facilitating transactions and providing liquidity to the Company's wholesale clients. The key risk drivers include changes in the level, volatility or correlations of interest rates, credit spreads, foreign exchange rates, and equity prices.

Non-Trading Market Risk is the risk of loss in financial instruments, the balance sheet or in earnings, or the risk of volatility in earnings from non-trading activities such as asset-liability management or investments, predominantly from interest rate, credit spread, foreign exchange, and equity risks. The Company's non-trading market risk is largely related to banking products offered to clients, and securities and other financial instruments held for investment and asset-liability management purposes. The key drivers of non-trading market risk changes include changes in interest and foreign exchange rates, and credit spreads.

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk of having insufficient cash or collateral to meet financial obligations and an inability to, in a timely manner, raise funding or monetize assets at a non-distressed price. Financial obligations can arise from deposit withdrawals, debt maturities, commitments to provide credit or liquidity support or the need to pledge additional collateral.

Legal, Regulatory Compliance, and Conduct Risk

The risks associated with the failure to meet the Bank's legal obligations from legislative, regulatory, or contractual perspectives, obligations under the Code of Conduct and Ethics, or requirements of fair business conduct or market conduct practices. This includes risks associated with the failure to identify, communicate, and comply with current and changing laws, regulations, rules, regulatory guidance, self-regulatory organization standards, and codes, including the prudent risk management of Money Laundering, Terrorist Financing, Economic Sanctions and Bribery and Corruption risk.

Reputational Risk

Reputational risk is the potential that stakeholder impressions, whether true or not, regarding the Company's business practices, actions or inactions, will or may cause a significant decline in TD's value, brand, liquidity or customer base, or require costly measures to address.

Model Risk

Model risk is the potential for adverse consequences arising from decisions based on incorrect or misused models and model-like tools, and their outputs.

Capital Adequacy Risk

Capital adequacy risk is the risk of insufficient capital being available in relation to the amount of capital required to carry out the Company's strategy and/or satisfy regulatory and internal capital adequacy requirements.

Summary Description of the Methodologies Used in the Company-Run Stress Tests

The Company's stress testing process uses quantitative and qualitative approaches to estimate revenue, expenses, credit losses, non-credit losses and reserves, changes to the Company's balance sheet, and capital for each scenario. The quantitative and qualitative approaches are subject to approval through a validation process managed by independent Model Risk Management and Model Validation functions. The Company's stress test results incorporate the impact of certain adjustments that are intended to ensure that results accurately reflect senior management's expectations under the various macroeconomic scenarios, including those required to mitigate any identified limitations or weaknesses in a specific approach. These adjustments are documented, reviewed, and approved by an independent function. The Company has established a governance structure comprised of several committees with focused areas of oversight. This structure promotes the effective challenge and approval by senior management of quantitative and qualitative approaches, key assumptions and the results. Stress test results and associated capital adequacy assessments are reviewed and approved by the Enterprise Risk Management Committee and the board of directors (or its designated committee).

Pre-Provision Net Revenue ("PPNR")

The Company has methodologies for estimating PPNR including balance sheet, interest income, interest expense, non-interest income, non-interest expense, and operational risk losses (as described below). Interest income and expense are largely estimated based on scenario-driven customer rates and product volumes. Net interest income is calculated as the difference between gross interest income on projected net loan balances and investment securities and the interest expense paid on deposits and borrowings. Net interest income also incorporates the impact of derivatives. Non-interest income and expense are projected using a combination of quantitative models where macroeconomic relationships have been identified and qualitative models that include the projection of key drivers linked to changes in macroeconomic variables. Management may apply expert judgment where applicable.

Operational Risk Losses

The Company uses a hybrid approach to estimate operational risk-related losses over the planning horizon. The Company leverages regression analysis based on both internal and external operational loss event history along with historical averages and scenario analysis for non-legal losses; the Company leverages a litigation claims and settlements-based approach for legal losses. Operational risk loss estimates incorporate expert judgment where applicable.

Market Risk (Trading and Non-Trading) Losses

The Company's methodology for estimating trading market risk losses mainly involves a full mark-to-market revaluation of projected trading positions using projected market rates and parameters for each scenario. The loss projections are based either on statistical models or qualitative approaches. The approaches are designed to quantify the impact of market and position changes by performing a full revaluation of the entire portfolio. The Company uses the same approaches for estimating non-trading market risk losses as those used for trading, with additional projections and assessments performed for other-than-temporary impairments.

Credit Losses and Provision for Credit Losses ("PCL")

The Company estimates credit risk-related losses based upon retail and wholesale credit loss quantitative models that leverage a number of factors such as borrower credit quality, historical loss experience, the macroeconomic environment (including the interest rate environment and unemployment rate), collateral type and related loan volumes determined for the scenario.

The allowance for loan and lease losses ("ALLL") is established for each type of loan to reserve for the level of credit losses the Company could experience under each scenario over the planning horizon. The provision for each quarter of the planning horizon is based on the net quarter-over-quarter change in the required level of ALLL for the scenario and the projected net charge-offs for each quarter.

Capital

PPNR, PCL, capital actions, changes in risk weighted assets ("RWA"), accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI"), and changes in deferred tax assets ("DTA") are the most significant components of the capital projections under the hypothetical stress scenario. The Company's capital position is projected based on Basel III ("BIII") standardized rules for RWA and advanced approaches for available capital except for treatment of the ALLL allowable in Tier 2 capital, which is calculated based on standardized rules. The capital actions used to assess capital adequacy (hereafter referred to as "Dodd Frank Act capital actions") are determined in accordance with the Stress Test Regulations as follows:

(1) For the first quarter of the planning horizon, the Company takes into account its actual capital actions as of the end of that quarter; and

(2) For each of the second through ninth quarters of the planning horizon, the Company includes in its projections of capital:

- *i.* Common stock dividends equal to the quarterly average dollar amount of common stock dividends that the Company paid in the previous year (that is, the first quarter of the planning horizon and the preceding three calendar quarters);
- *ii.* Payments on any other instrument that is eligible for inclusion in the numerator of a regulatory capital ratio equal to the stated dividend, interest, or principal due on such instrument during the quarter;
- *iii.* An assumption of no redemption or repurchase of any capital instrument that is eligible for inclusion in the numerator of a regulatory capital ratio; and
- *iv.* An assumption of no issuances of common stock or preferred stock, except for issuances related to expensed employee compensation.

The stress test results included in the capital plan submission reflect the impact of the Scottrade transaction which closed in September 2017.

TDGUS: Summary of Company-Run Stress Test Results

This section presents the results of the stress test submitted for TDGUS to the FRB for the scenario. Figure 1 below presents the pro forma PPNR and PCL results. While PPNR is positive over the planning horizon, the cumulative PCL of \$9.7B results in a pre-tax loss (refer to Figure 1 below).

Figure 1: TDGUS Projected Revenue, Losses, and Net Income Before Taxes Through Q1'20

	\$ Billions	Percent of Average Assets ¹
Pre-provision net revenue ²	7.7	1.9%
Other revenue ³	0.0	—%
less		
Provision for credit losses ⁴	9.7	2.4%
Realized losses/gains on securities (Available-for-Sale ("AFS") and Held-to-Maturity ("HTM")) and other sources of Income	(0.1)	-%
Trading and counterparty losses	0.0	—%
Other losses/gains	0.0	—%
equals		
Net income before taxes	(2.1)	(0.5)%
Other effects on capital	Q4'17	Q1'20
AOCI included in capital ⁵	0.0	(1.9)
¹ Average assets is the 9-quarter average of total assets.		
$^{\rm 2}$ PPNR is the sum of net interest income and non-interest income less expenses provisions.	(including operational risk	losses) before adjusting for loss
$^{\rm 3}{\rm Other}$ revenue includes one-time income (and expense) items not included in F	PPNR.	
⁴ Provision for credit losses is the net of changes in allowance and net charge-off	fs.	
⁵ Certain AOCI items are subject to transition into regulatory capital (i.e., 80 perc included in projected regulatory capital thereafter).	cent included in regulatory	capital for 2017 and 100 percent

included in projected regulatory capital thereafter).

Credit risk-related net-charge-offs projected for each loan category over the planning horizon are presented in Figure 2 below.

	\$ Billions	Portfolio Loss Rates 1
Loan losses ²	7.1	4.8%
First-lien mortgages, domestic	0.2	0.8%
Junior liens and HELOCS, domestic	0.1	1.3%
Commercial and Industrial ³	1.9	5.2%
Commercial real estate, domestic	1.0	4.1%
Credit cards	2.3	19.7%
Other consumer ⁴	0.9	4.2%
Other loans	0.7	3.3%
¹ Portfolio loss rates are calculated based on the 9-quarter averag Investment under the Fair-Value option.	e of total loans and exclude loans Held-fo	or-Sale and loans Held-for-
² Loan losses represent net charge offs which reduce the ALLL.		
³ Commercial and Industrial loans include small business loans an	d business and corporate cards.	

⁴Other consumer loans include automobile loans.

Explanation of the Most Significant Causes of the Changes in Regulatory Capital Ratios

The risk and leverage-based capital ratios for TDGUS remain (i) well above applicable minimum regulatory ratios and (ii) above the Company's approved internal policy goals over the planning horizon. As illustrated in Figure 3 below, the common equity tier 1 capital ("CET1") ratio for TDGUS is projected to decrease from 16.0% as of Q4'17 to 14.4% as of Q1'20. The tier 1 leverage ratio is projected to decrease from 8.8% as of Q4'17 to 6.3% as of Q1'20 under the scenario.

	Actual	Stressed Capital Ratios	
Capital Ratios	Q4'17	Ending	Minimum
CET1 capital ratio (%)	16.0	14.4	12.9
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio (%)	16.0	14.4	12.9
Total risk-based capital ratio (%)	17.0	15.6	14.1
Tier 1 leverage ratio (%)	8.8	6.3	6.3
Tier 1 supplementary leverage ratio (%)	7.8	5.7	5.7

Figure 3: TDGUS Projected Stressed	Total Capital Ratios and Metrics Based or	n DFA Capital Actions through Q1'20 ³

RWA / Leverage Assets	Q4'17	Ending	Minimum
Basel III RWA (\$ Billions)	200.7	189.8	203.7
Total leverage assets (\$ Billions)	362.3	431.1	431.1
Total supplementary leverage assets (\$ Billions)	409.1	474.9	474.9

Actual

Figure 4 below illustrates the key drivers of change to the CET1 ratio over the planning horizon. The CET1 ratio reduced by 160 basis points primarily due to negative net income before taxes (as shown in Figure 1) driven by higher PCLs in the Commercial and Industrial and Credit Card portfolios. "Other Capital" related items also contributing to the reduction in the CET1 ratio include: (i) elevated AOCI losses caused by widening credit spreads and the downgrade of Sovereign and Supranational issuers, and (ii) increased threshold deductions as required by US Basel III Final Rules. Dodd-Frank Act ("DFA") capital actions result in a minor decrease to the CET1 ratio as TDGUS pays a small quarterly dividend to The Toronto-Dominion Bank throughout the planning horizon per the established DFA capital action requirements. The reductions in the CET1 ratio were partially offset by lower RWA due to a forecasted reduction in commercial loans, auto loans, and credit card volumes as well as reduced securities RWA, partially offset by the increased risk-weight for certain other assets after the full phase-in of Basel III transition rules.

Balance at Capital Ratio

³ The minimum capital ratio presented is for the period from Q1'18 to Q1'20.

Figure 4: TDGUS CET1 Capital Ratio Q4'17 to Q1'20



TDBNA: Summary of Company-Run Stress Test Results

This section presents the results of the stress test submitted to the OCC under the scenario for TDBNA. Figure 5 below presents the pro forma PPNR results for TDBNA over the planning horizon. The cumulative Net loss of \$2.1B, largely reflects the \$7.4B in PCL, mostly offset by Pre-Provision Revenue of \$5.4B.

Figure 5: TDBNA Projected Revenue, Losses, and	d Net Income Before Taxes Through Q1'20
------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------

	\$ Billions	Percent of Average Assets ¹
Pre-provision net revenue ²	5.4	1.8%
Other revenue ³	_	—%
less		
Provision for credit losses ⁴	7.4	2.4%
Realized losses/gains on securities (Available-for-Sale ("AFS") and Held-to-Maturity ("HTM")) and other sources of Income	(0.1)	-%
Trading and counterparty losses	-	-%
Other losses/gains	_	—%
equals		
Net income before taxes	(2.1)	(0.7)%
Other effects on capital	Q4'17	Q1'20
AOCI included in capital ⁵	0.0	(1.7)

¹ Average assets is the 9-quarter average of total assets.

² PPNR is the sum of net interest income and non-interest income less expenses (including operational risk losses) before adjusting for loss provisions.

³Other revenue includes one-time income (and expense) items not included in PPNR.

⁴ Provision for credit losses is the net of changes in allowance and net charge-offs.

⁵ Certain AOCI items are subject to transition into regulatory capital (i.e., 80 percent included in regulatory capital for 2017 and 100 percent included in projected regulatory capital thereafter).

Credit risk-related net charge-offs projected for each loan category over the planning horizon are presented in Figure 6 below.

Figure 6: TDBNA Projected 9-Quarter Net Charge-Offs by Type of Loan

	\$ Billions	Portfolio Loss Rates ¹
Loan losses ²	5.3	3.9%
First-lien mortgages, domestic	0.2	0.8%
Junior liens and HELOCS, domestic	0.1	1.3%
Commercial and Industrial ³	1.7	5.2%
Commercial real estate, domestic	1.0	4.1%
Credit cards	0.6	16.1%
Other consumer ⁴	0.9	4.2%
Other loans	0.7	3.3%
¹ Portfolio loss rates are calculated based on the 9-quarter average Investment under the Fair-Value option.	e of total loans and exclude loans Held-fo	or-Sale and loans Held-for-
² Loan losses represent net charge offs which reduce the ALLL.		
3		

³ Commercial and industrial loans include small business loans and business and corporate cards.

⁴ Other consumer loans include automobile loans.

Explanation of the Most Significant Causes of the Changes in Regulatory Capital Ratios

TDBNA's capital ratios determined based on DFA capital actions exceed regulatory minimum ratio requirements throughout the planning horizon. As illustrated in Figure 7 below, the CET1 ratio for TDBNA is projected to decrease from 14.8% as of Q4'17 to 12.9% as of Q1'20 under the scenario. The tier 1 leverage ratio is projected to decrease from 9.0% as of Q4'17 to 6.4% as of Q1'20.

Figure 7: TDBNA Projected Stressed Capital Ratios and Metrics Based on DFA Capital Actions Through Q1'20⁴

	Actual Q4'17	Stressed Capital Ratios	
		Ending	Minimum
CET1 capital ratio (%)	14.8	12.9	12.1
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio (%)	14.8	12.9	12.1
Total risk-based capital ratio (%)	15.6	14.1	13.3
Tier 1 leverage ratio (%)	9.0	6.4	6.4
Tier 1 supplementary leverage ratio (%)	8.1	5.8	5.8

RWA / Leverage Assets	Actual Q4'17	Ending	Balance at Capital Ratio Minimum
Basel III RWA (\$Billions)	165.9	157.8	166.8
Total leverage assets (\$Billions)	272.1	318.2	318.2
Total supplementary leverage assets (\$Billions)	302.4	347.9	347.9

Figure 8 below illustrates the key drivers of change to the CET1 ratio over the planning horizon. The CET1 ratio reduced by 190 basis points primarily due to net losses before taxes (as shown in Figure 5) mainly driven by higher PCLs in the Commercial & Industrial and Commercial Real Estate portfolios. Higher AOCI losses (captured in "Other Capital") caused by widening credit spreads and the downgrade of Sovereign/Supranational issuers also negatively impact the CET1 ratio. DFA capital actions reflect dividends paid over the planning horizon per the established DFA capital action requirements, and result in a decrease in the CET1 ratio.

⁴ The minimum capital ratio presented is for the period from Q1'18 to Q1'20.

Figure 8: TDBNA CET1 Capital Ratio Q4'17 to Q1'20



TDBUSA: Summary of Company-Run Stress Test Results

The following section presents the results of the stress test submitted to the OCC under the scenario for TDBUSA. PPNR is positive over the planning horizon, largely offset by elevated levels of PCL resulting in a pre-tax gain as shown in Figure 9 below.

	\$ Billions	Percent of Average Assets ¹
Pre-provision net revenue ²	2.4	6.7%
Other revenue ³	_	—%
less		
Provision for credit losses ⁴	2.0	5.7%
Realized losses/gains on securities (Available-for-Sale ("AFS") and Held-to-Maturity ("HTM")) and other sources of Income	_	—%
Trading and counterparty losses	_	-%
Other losses/gains	—	-%
equals		
Net income before taxes	0.4	1.1%
Other Effects on Capital	Q4'17	Q1'20
AOCI included in capital ⁵	0.0	-0.1

¹ Average assets is the 9-quarter average of total assets.

² PPNR is the sum of net interest income and non-interest income less expenses (including operational risk losses) before adjusting for loss provisions.

³Other revenue includes one-time income (and expense) items not included in PPNR.

⁴ Provision for credit losses is the net of changes in allowance and net charge-offs.

⁵ Certain AOCI items are subject to transition into regulatory capital (i.e., 80 percent included in regulatory capital for 2017 and 100 percent included in projected regulatory capital thereafter).

Credit risk-related net charge-offs projected for each loan category over the planning horizon are presented in Figure 10 below.

Figure 10: TDBUSA Projected 9-Quarter Net Charge-Offs by Type of Loan

	\$ Billions	Portfolio Loss Rates ¹
Loan losses ²	1.7	20.0%
First-lien mortgages, domestic	0.0	_
Junior liens and HELOCS, domestic	0.0	_
Commercial and Industrial	0.0	3.2%
Commercial real estate, domestic	0.0	2.1%
Credit cards	1.7	20.5%
Other consumer	0.0	_
Other loans	0.0	5.5%
¹ Portfolio loss rates are calculated based on the 9-quarter averag Investment under the Fair-Value option.	e of total loans and exclude loans Held-fo	or-Sale and loans Held-for-
² Loan losses represent net charge offs which reduce the ALLL.		

Explanation of the Most Significant Causes of the Changes in Regulatory Capital Ratios

TDBUSA's capital ratios determined based on DFA capital actions exceed regulatory minimum ratio requirements throughout the planning horizon for the scenario. As illustrated in Figure 11 below, TDBUSA's CET1 ratio is projected to increase from 21.5% as of Q4'17 to 26.5% as of Q1'20. The tier 1 leverage ratio is projected to decrease from 10.1% as of Q4'17 to 5.8% as of Q1'20.

Figure 11: TDBUSA Projected Stressed Total Capital Ratios and Metrics Based on DFA Capital Actions through Q1'20⁵

	Actual Q4'17	Stressed Capital Ratios	
		Ending	Minimum
CET1 capital ratio (%)	21.5	26.5	21.7
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio (%)	21.5	26.5	21.7
Total risk-based capital ratio (%)	22.8	27.9	23.1
Tier 1 leverage ratio (%)	10.1	5.8	5.8
Tier 1 supplementary leverage ratio (%)	8.2	5.2	5.2

RWA / Leverage Assets	Actual Q4'17	Ending	Balance at Capital Ratio Minimum
Basel III RWA (\$Billions)	11.2	9.1	11.0
Total leverage assets (\$Billions)	23.8	41.2	41.2
Total supplementary leverage assets (\$Billions)	29.3	45.9	45.9

Figure 12 below depicts the key changes to the CET1 ratio over the planning horizon. The CET1 ratio increased by 500 basis points primarily due to lower RWA from the expected seasonal reduction in credit card volumes at the end of the planning horizon. The PPNR of \$2.4B under the scenario reflects earnings associated with the growth in deposits and related investments, and a decrease in revenue share expenses reflecting transfer of the majority of the increase in PCL, in accordance with the revenue and credit loss sharing agreements with credit card servicers.

 $^{^{\}rm 5}$ The minimum capital ratio presented is for the period from Q1'18 to Q1'20.

Figure 12: TDBUSA CET1 Capital Ratio Q4'17 to Q1'20

